

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/655,871	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUGHS-BAIRD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Matthew D. Hoel	<b>Art Unit</b> 3714	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(2) Holby Abern, attorney.

**Date of Interview:** 30 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NF, 05-07-2009

Claims discussed:  
1-14,20-31,47-51

Prior art documents discussed:  
"Dice Game" (from <http://gscentral.net/dice.htm>; Baerlocher, et al. (5,788,573 B1)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. D. H./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** P.M.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Abern gave permission to enter the proposed amendments as an examiner's answer. The amendments had been previously e-mailed to the examiner by the applicants. The previously withdrawn claims (non-elected) 15-19, 32-46, and 51-56 are hereby cancelled. In the interview of 06-25-2009 (interview summary previously mailed), we had discussed clarifying the modifiers of Figs. 3A-G and 4A-E to teach away from Dice Game, in which the examiner had interpreted the decimal weights (1000s, 100s, 10s, 1s) of the car's price to be modifiers. We also discussed clarifying the mathematical relationship between the modifiers to teach away from Dice Game. Figs. 3A-G apply the modifiers as a sum. Figs. 4A-E apply the modifiers as multipliers, so in either case, a mathematical operation is applied to the modifiers. Since the prior art has been amended around as a result of the interview, the non-final rejection of 05-07-2009 is withdrawn, and claims 1-14, 20-31, & 47-51 are allowed.